

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 3 December 1999. Responsive to the objections and rejections made in the Official Action, Claims 1-3 have been amended to correct inconsistencies in the language thereof.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the Abstract. Accordingly, the original Abstract has been replaced by a Substitute Abstract, which was the easiest method to make corrections thereto.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the Drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(p)(5), because the reference numeral 27' was shown in the Drawing and not mentioned in the Description.

The Background description has been amended to incorporate the reference numeral 27', and thereby overcomes the Examiner's objection to the Drawings.

In the Official Action ,the Examiner objected to the Specification due to a number of informalities found therein. In order to overcome the Examiner's objection, the Specification has been amended to correct those informalities kindly noted by the Examiner.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, as being indefinite for failing to particularly


MR1345-474

point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner indicated that there was an inconsistency in the Claim language in that the scope of the Claims was unclear due to an inconsistency between the preamble and the body of the Claim. However, the Examiner kindly indicated that Claims 1-3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Claims 1-3 have been amended to correct the language thereof. The Claims have been amended to refer to the "rolling steel door" wholly in functional language, and thus no longer inferentially or directly refers to such structure. Therefore, it should now be clear that the Claims are directed to the subcombination of "a motor drive", and should now be allowable.

Therefore, it is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE


David I. Klein
Registration #33,253

Dated: 29 Feb. 2000

Suite 105
3444 Ellicott Center Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
(410) 465-6678